## Waste Strategy Overview and Scrutiny 4<sup>th</sup> October



#### Prepared by: Re

**Resident Services** 



#### What do our residents talk to us about?

#### 3.5.2 Kerbside Collections

Q19 – How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the waste and recycling collections you currently receive?

Satisfaction with the current kerbside collections was also explored through the survey (Q19).




Figure 15: Service satisfaction - respondents' level of satisfaction with the current waste and recycling collections (Nottingham R&WS Consultation Survey, Oct – Dec 2022, Q19)

# **The Environment Act**

Target 65% recycling of household waste by 2035 Mandatory free weekly food waste collections from 2030 - TBC 3/

Free separate collection of garden waste - TBC

### 4/

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) – Packaging and plastic

### 5/

Roll out of deposit return schemes for packaging 6/ Separate collection of


glass, plastic, metal and paper & card for all local authorities 7/

Separate collection of recyclable materials for commercial waste

### 8/

Electronic tracking of waste and requirements of waste producers and Authorities

# Strategy



Core Cities (England)

Detail	6 core materials	Paper	Card	Cans	Glass	Plastic bottles	Plastic tubs
Nottingham City Council Yield (kg/hhd/yr)	120.1	51.1	18.9	6.2	32.1	8.4	3.3
How NCC compare against other UK authorities	180.9	65.9	29.7	10.5	54	14.8	5.9
How NCC compare against other Las in the East Midlands							
How NCC compare against other authorities with similar characteristics – ONS classification 'University Towns and Cities Las'							
How NCC compare against other authorities in the same rurality 'Predominantly urban, high deprivation Las'							
Key Authority is in bottom 25% of LAs.	Authority is i	n bottom 509	% of LAs	Authority is in to	op 50% of LA	s Authority	is in top 25%

## Performance

rate

Household recycling




Year

## Presentation of Waste


Nottinghamshire Councils	Side Waste Accepted	Closed Lid Policy	Core Cities	Side Waste Accepted	Closed Lid Policy
Ashfield	No	Yes	Birmingham	No	Yes
Bassetlaw	No	Yes	Bristol	No	Not published
Broxtowe	No	Yes	Liverpool	No	Yes
Gedling	No	Yes	Leeds	No	Not published
Mansfield	No	Not published	Manchester	No	Yes
Newark and Sherwood	No	Yes	Newcastle	No	Yes
Nottingham	YES	NO	Nottingham	YES	NO
Rushcliffe	No	Yes	Sheffield	No	Yes






# Waste Transformation Programme

- Strategy consultation supported move away from co-mingled and into either twinstream or multi-stream
- If Contamination of Recyclables is more than 15% then contract penalty charges are implemented.
- Better financial return when waste is separated
- Side Waste doesn't enable waste to enter the right waste stream






Rejects as percentage of Recycling

# Statutory Duty & Charges

Legal requirement to dispose at no cost to the Resident, not a legal requirement to offer a collection service for:



# Impact of Bulk Waste Charging

No change in Report-it Fly Tipping as a result of Bulky Waste charging :



